

The carbon-14 spike in the 8th century was not caused by a cometary impact on Earth

Ilya G. Usoskin^{a,*}, Gennady A. Kovaltsov^b

^a*ReSoLVE Center of Excellence and Sodankylä Geophysical Observatory (Oulu unit) University of Oulu, Finland*

^b*Ioffe Physical-Technical Institute, St.Petersburg, Russia*

Abstract

A mysterious increase of radiocarbon ^{14}C ca. 775 AD in the Earth's atmosphere has been recently found by Miyake et al. (Nature, 486, 240, 2012). A possible source of this event has been discussed widely, the most likely being an extreme solar energetic particle event. A new exotic hypothesis has been presented recently by Liu et al. (Sci. Rep., 4, 3728, 2014) who proposed that the event was caused by a **cometary impact on Earth bringing additional ^{14}C to the atmosphere. Here we calculated a realistic mass and size of such a comet to show that it would have been huge (≈ 100 km across and $10^{17} - 10^{20}$ gram of mass) and would have produced a disastrous geological/biological impact on Earth.** The absence of an evidence for such a dramatic event makes this hypothesis invalid.

1. Introduction

Radiocarbon ^{14}C is a primary cosmogenic radioisotope produced in the Earth's atmosphere by galactic cosmic rays whose flux is a subject to solar and geomagnetic modulation. After production, radiocarbon is redistributed in the complicated terrestrial carbon cycle (Roth and Joos, 2013) and finally stored in a natural archive like tree trunks or corals, where it can be measured later to reconstruct the cosmic ray flux and respectively solar activity in the past (Beer et al., 2012; Usoskin, 2013). Occasionally however, sources other than galactic cosmic rays may contribute to the measured ^{14}C . One such known event is related to a strong increase of ^{14}C around year 774-775 AD, discovered first in a Japanese cedar tree rings (Miyake et al., 2012) and later confirmed by measurements in a German oak tree (Usoskin et al., 2013). A similar increase has been observed also in another cosmogenic radioisotope ^{10}Be measured in Dom Fuji Antarctic ice core (Horiuchi et al., 2008; Usoskin and Kovaltsov, 2012). Different possible sources of this event have been discussed in the literature, from a gamma-ray burst (Hambaryan and Neuhäuser, 2013; Pavlov et al., 2013) to a cometary impact on the Sun (Eichler and Mordecai, 2012). The most **realistic source** is an extreme flux of solar energetic particles accelerated in a giant solar flare or interplanetary shock (Melott and Thomas, 2012; Usoskin et al., 2013; Cliver et al., 2014).

Liu et al. (2014) provided a new measurements of ^{14}C in coral skeletons of the South China Sea for the period of 770s AD. The measurements show a clear increase of $\Delta^{14}\text{C}$ occurred in 773 AD as resolved with a great temporal

*Corresponding author, e-mail: ilya.usoskin@oulu.fi

resolution. This confirms the earlier discovery of a 12 permill increase of $\Delta^{14}\text{C}$ in tree rings in Japan (Miyake et al., 2012) and Europe (Usoskin et al., 2013) for 774-775 AD. In addition, Liu et al. (2014) reported a chronicle record of a meteor/comet impact on the Earth's atmosphere: **"It is well established that a comet collided with the Earth's atmosphere ... on 17 January AD 773"**. Accordingly, they proposed that this comet might have brought additional ^{14}C and ^{10}Be into the atmosphere which would explain the observed peak. This is a strong conclusion with potentially great scientific impact and thus requires a robust quantitative support. However, the estimates of the comet's body offered by Liu et al. (2014) are too much uncertain. Here we revisited this idea and estimated the realistic size and mass of a comet needed to produce the observed increase in ^{14}C . **We show that an impact of such a comet would had been disastrous, but such an event did not take place, making the idea of the cometary origin of the measured ^{14}C spike invalid.**

2. Result and Discussion

All the measurements in tree rings (Miyake et al., 2012; Usoskin et al., 2013) and in corals (Liu et al., 2014) agree that the relative increase of ^{14}C was 11–12 permill (1.1–1.2%), and considering the complementing information of ^{10}Be deposition in Antarctic ice (Horiuchi et al., 2008), the effect was global. Such an increase of $\Delta^{14}\text{C}$ requires an additional source (**denoted here as S**) of $\approx 1.5 \times 10^8$ ^{14}C atoms per cm^2 in the atmosphere (Usoskin et al., 2013; Pavlov et al., 2013). By applying the global distribution, one can easily obtain that $N = S \times 4\pi R_{\text{Earth}}^2 = 8 \times 10^{26}$ atoms (or about 18 kg) of ^{14}C should be instantly injected into the atmosphere. Since the amount of radiocarbon in the comet's body is a result of a balance between production Q and radioactive decay (the life-time $\tau = 8266$ years) of ^{14}C , $N = \tau \cdot Q$, one can calculate that $Q = 3 \times 10^{15}$ atoms of ^{14}C should be produced in the comet's body per second during its transport in space.

Radiocarbon is mostly produced from nitrogen by capture of a **secondary** (epi)thermal neutron $^{14}\text{N}(\text{n},\text{p})^{14}\text{C}$. Other channels have much lower cross-sections (Kovaltsov et al., 2012). Thus, the amount of nitrogen atoms in a thick target irradiated by cosmic rays is essential for its ability to produce ^{14}C . In this sense, the Earth's atmosphere containing 78% of nitrogen is close to the absolutely effective target **in a sense that production of ^{14}C is the most effective sink of thermalized neutrons**. According to numerical radiocarbon production models (Masarik and Beer, 2009; Kovaltsov et al., 2012) the average ^{14}C production rate in the Earth's atmosphere as produced by galactic cosmic rays in the absence of solar and geomagnetic shielding (as corresponding to an outer part of the solar system) is about 10 atoms/ cm^2/s . Thus, in order to guarantee sufficient production of ^{14}C in the comet's body, its surface area (the body is assumed to be thick enough for the development of a cosmic-ray induced cascade to produce (epi)thermal neutrons) must be $3 \times 10^{14} \text{ cm}^2$. This corresponds to the mean radius of about $5 \times 10^6 \text{ cm}$ or 50 km (100 km across) **for the spherical shape**. We note that ^{14}C is produced only in an upper layer of tens of meters thickness, while the bulk of the volume is unreachable for cosmic rays. With the mean comet density (Britt et al., 2006) of about 0.6 g/cm^3 , it leads to the total mass of a $3 \times 10^{20} \text{ g}$. A similar estimate can be found independently in Overholt and Melott (2013) based on

direct numerical simulations. This would make such a comet the biggest one observed. A body of such size and mass would have produced a dramatic impact if falling on Earth. A simple estimate of such a body at **30 km/s** velocity leads to the impact energy of 10^{26} J or 3×10^{10} megaton TNT **which is a factor of 10^9 – 10^{10} greater than that for the Tunguska phenomenon (Borovička et al., 2013).** Such an impact would lead to dramatic geological/biospherical consequences that could not remain unnoticed. **If the comet was not a perfectly spherical body, hollow inside or even being a swarm of smaller objects, the estimated mass could be somewhat smaller. An unrealistically conservative lower limit would be 3×10^{17} g, which would correspond to a swarm of about 10^7 objects of 20 m across arranged so they do not shield each other of cosmic rays, or a thin sphere of 100 km across and 10 m thick.**

We note that all the assumptions made here **tend to underestimate the comet’s size and mass.** For example, any composition other than considered here, of the comet would only lead to a less effective production of ^{14}C and accordingly to the bigger size/mass required. Thus, the present estimate is a conservative lower bound.

Thus, the hypothesis of a cometary impact bringing additional radiocarbon to the atmosphere in ca. 773 AD (Liu et al., 2014) is proven invalid, and the solar origin of the event (Usoskin et al., 2013; Cliver et al., 2014) remains the most plausible explanation.

Acknowledgements

G.K. was partly supported by the Program No. 22 presidium RAS and by the Academy of Finland. Support by the Academy of Finland to the ReSoLVE Center of Excellence (project no. 272157) is acknowledged.

References

- Beer, J., McCracken, K., von Steiger, R., 2012. *Cosmogenic Radionuclides: Theory and Applications in the Terrestrial and Space Environments*. Springer, Berlin.
- Borovička, J., Spurný, P., Brown, P., Wiegert, P., Kalenda, P., Clark, D., Shrbený, L., 2013. The trajectory, structure and origin of the Chelyabinsk asteroidal impactor. *Nature* 503, 235–237.
- Britt, D. T., Consolmagno, G. J., Merline, W. J., 2006. Small Body Density and Porosity: New Data, New Insights. In: Mackwell, S., Stansbery, E. (Eds.), *37th Annual Lunar and Planetary Science Conference*. Vol. 37 of *Lunar and Planetary Institute Science Conference Abstracts*. pp. 2214–2215.
- Cliver, E. W., Tylka, A. J., Dietrich, W. F., Ling, A. G., 2014. On a Solar Origin for the Cosmogenic Nuclide Event of 775 A.D. *Astrophys. J.* 781, 32.
- Eichler, D., Mordecai, D., 2012. Comet encounters and carbon 14. *Astrophys. J. Lett.* 761, L27.
- Hambaryan, V., Neuhäuser, R., 2013. A galactic short gamma-ray burst as cause for the 14c peak in ad 774/5. *MNRAS* 429.
- Horiuchi, K., Uchida, T., Sakamoto, Y., Ohta, A., Matsuzaki, H., Shibata, Y., Motoyama, H., 2008. Ice core record of 10be over the past millennium from dome fuji, antarctica: A new proxy record of past solar activity and a powerful tool for stratigraphic dating. *Quat. Geochronology* 3, 253–261.
- Kovaltsov, G., Mishev, A., Usoskin, I., 2012. A new model of cosmogenic production of radiocarbon ^{14}C in the atmosphere. *Earth Planet.Sci.Lett.* 337, 114–120.

85 Liu, Y., Zhang, Z., Peng, Z., Ling, M., Shen, C., Liu, W., Sun, X., Shen, C., Liu, K., Sun, W., 2014. Mysterious abrupt carbon-14 increase in coral
86 contributed by a comet. *Sci. Rep.* 4, 3728.

87 Masarik, J., Beer, J., 2009. An updated simulation of particle fluxes and cosmogenic nuclide production in the Earth's atmosphere. *J. Geophys.*
88 *Res.* 114, D11103.

89 Melott, A. L., Thomas, B. C., 2012. Causes of an AD 774-775 ^{14}C increase. *Nature* 491, E1.

90 Miyake, F., Nagaya, K., Masuda, K., Nakamura, T., 2012. A signature of cosmic-ray increase in ad 774775 from tree rings in Japan. *Nature* 486,
91 240–242.

92 Overholt, A. C., Melott, A. L., 2013. Cosmogenic nuclide enhancement via deposition from long-period comets as a test of the Younger Dryas
93 impact hypothesis. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 377, 55–61.

94 Pavlov, A. K., Blinov, A. V., Konstantinov, A. N., Ostryakov, V. M., Vasilyev, G. I., Vdovina, M. A., Volkov, P. A., 2013. AD 775 pulse of
95 cosmogenic radionuclides production as imprint of a Galactic gamma-ray burst. *Mon. Notes R. Astron. Soc.* 435, 2878–2884.

96 Roth, R., Joos, F., 2013. A reconstruction of radiocarbon production and total solar irradiance from the Holocene ^{14}C and CO_2 records: implications
97 of data and model uncertainties. *Clim. Past* 9, 1879–1909.

98 Usoskin, I. G., 2013. A History of Solar Activity over Millennia. *Living Rev. Solar Phys.* 10, 1.

99 Usoskin, I. G., Kovaltsov, G. A., 2012. Occurrence of Extreme Solar Particle Events: Assessment from Historical Proxy Data. *Astrophys. J.* 757,
100 92.

101 Usoskin, I. G., Kromer, B., Ludlow, F., Beer, J., Friedrich, M., Kovaltsov, G. A., Solanki, S. K., Wacker, L., 2013. The AD775 cosmic event
102 revisited: the Sun is to blame. *Astron. Astrophys.* 552, L3.